

Every other, a first example

Our first chance to say "No"

These bombs aren't meant to deter,

They're meant to be used.

They aren't supposed to make nuclear war thinkable.

They're meant to make nuclear war happen.

Clearly, at first; so that ~~"tactical"~~ to erase

the objections to ~~the~~ the "tactical" type
weapons last used ~~in~~ Hiroshima + Nagasaki.

Clear weapons for doing little wars, like VN, & Africa;

so that doing "little" ~~weapons~~ ^{we can regain} wars were
come along, the doing "little" weapons of the

"tactical" size ~~was~~ ^{lost} dropped on Hiroshima + Nagasaki.

If they had been stockpiled 10 years ago,
(as could well have happened eventually) they
would have been used, ^{already} in Vietnam. ^{Destruction} killing more
human and fewer structures wouldn't have changed
the nature of that war, but the final Holocaust
would be closer than it is today. 285-3200

2 bomb

The "N" bomb...

The point of this weapons program is not
just to make nuclear war thinkable,
it's to make nuclear war happen.

These are bombs meant to be used, not
to deter; they're "clean" ~~weapons~~ ^{for}
doing little wars, like Vietnam or Angola.

^{Tell} They, ~~disturb~~, ~~disturb~~, along with ~~them~~ ^{him} ~~him~~, the
~~distinction between nuclear and non-nuclear~~
~~that has been the single barrier to~~
breakdown against ~~the~~ ^{new} ~~nuclear~~ ^{reinitiating}
nuclear warfare that has been the single
barrier to U.S. use ^{in the last 30 years} ~~since Nagasaki~~ of "tactical"
weapons of the size last used on Nagasaki.

(Dulles offered 2 of those weapons to the
French at the time of Dunkirk, without telling
us: ~~But~~ ^{the} French said. This time, for once,
it's our chance to say "No."

With this ^{use} ~~kind~~, doing little wars like
Vietnam will become "clean" nuclear wars. ~~That~~
~~with the~~ ~~them~~, with the ~~present~~ ^{use} ~~breakdown~~ of
~~the~~ ~~present~~ ^{one} that political barrier
~~breakdown~~ to reinitiating nuclear warfare has been
breached, & "tactical" ^{weapons} ~~weapons~~ of the size last
dropped at Nagasaki will be regarded as usable
again. And they will be used, not only by us.

Its purpose is to reduce the political
~~distortion~~ barrier that has deterred our own
President ~~from~~ ~~activity~~ from

as Wolfgang Pansky has said (20 pg, SF Chron)
"it is not a 'revolutionary' weapon. It is, like
all 'tactical' US weapons, ~~rather~~ a
counter-revolutionary weapon.

the idea of being this weapon... is
Stennis: "the best news I have heard in years."

~~These bullet notes~~

1



The purpose of the nuclear bomb development
is not just to make us more threatening,
it's to make nuclear war happen.

These bombs ~~designed to deter~~ are not for deterrence,
they're for war — to ~~test~~ ^{use} with the US against

first, ~~the~~ ^{the} first ~~enemy~~ to the big little wars
like Vietnam into "clean" nuclear wars, and ~~ended~~ ^{ended} by
that president, to make ~~the~~ ^{other} "test" ~~weapons~~ ^{weapons}

still "test" in ~~an~~ ^{an} ~~area~~ ^{area} of the size ~~yield~~ ^{yield}

upheld ~~dropped~~ ^{politically} ~~dropped~~ ^{dropped} on ~~the~~ ^{the} "usable" ~~only~~ ^{only} again

N. bombs have been developed not just

to ...

but to make us war happen.

~~These~~

~~These~~

we, the American public, have determined our

Those who have pressed the development of
N. bombs have done so in hopes a President
would use it less determined as in using it ~~first~~ ^{first}
of fears of ^{retaliation or of} Americans and allied ^{political} reaction ~~and~~
~~and~~ they hope

~~bring~~ ~~together~~,
~~together~~

Either we abolish nuclear weapons or
they will abolish us.

We do not have much time, and we cannot afford
to spend any of it going any longer in the
wrong direction, ^{with no result} ~~that~~ ~~into~~ ~~the~~ ~~crisis~~
missile and the neutron bomb, ^{with additional weapons developments like} ~~along with the~~
~~the~~ ~~most~~ ~~dangerous~~ Of all the weapons
^(by U.S. weapons tests) developed since Nagasaki, the neutron bomb
is, in fact, most likely to be used.

~~most likely to~~

The N bomb appears itself as most likely to be
used in combat.

with no weapons like the cruise missile,
which makes impractical reductions almost
impossible, or the neutron ^{only} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~which~~ ^{most} ~~which~~ ^{if produced is}
likely to be ~~used~~ the first nuclear
^{nuclear weapon} ~~weapon~~ ^{to be used, and} ~~which~~ ^{the} ~~on which the~~
^{triggers} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~use of others.~~ ^{of} ~~the~~ ^{by}
stopping these, we will buy time in which
we ^{must use to} ~~can~~ ^{save ourselves and future generations.}

anywhere,

US ~~highlighted~~ ~~the~~ ~~the~~ initiation of
warfare under any circumstances ^{would} ~~is~~ a threat
to our security and humanity's. Giving the
President a nuclear bomb "option" would
allow him the power that to our security — and
to humanity's — that has yet occurred up to
that time.

The first country to use nuclear weapons in
combat since ~~the~~ Nagasaki would be raising

To give a Pres an N. bomb "option"
could trust to...

Aug 77



Either we ^{have} abolish nuclear weapons
or they will abolish us.

We do not have much time. We cannot
watch it ~~(spread of it)~~ going on longer
in the wrong direction, adding new weapons
like the cruise missile — which ^{would} make
inspected, ^{mutual} reductions almost impossible —
or the neutron bomb — which if ^{would} produced
~~the~~ ^{most} likely of all ~~to~~ ^{to be} the first
nuclear weapon ^{to be} used ~~the~~ since the Nagasaki,
~~to be~~ ^{and} ~~the~~ one which ~~leads to~~
triggers the use of others ^{on both sides}.

By stopping this ^{process} now, this year, we will
buy time which we must use to save
ourselves and future generations.

We ~~know~~ ^{will} can survive the
minution of nuclear weapons only if
we succeed in abolishing them.

323-3384

(David
321-3395)

Hyp: Neutron bomb as
diplomatic equiv. of MCF + US
1st-Strike;
"an alternative to a ~~Russian~~
nuclear force."

[i.e. in fact, a US-supplied
~~Russian~~ nuclear force, without consent
and with ~~Russian~~ accepting US hegemony:
instead of a "destabilizing" orient
~~Russian~~ nuclear force.